

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/531,873

Applicant(s)

UCHIDA ET AL.

Examiner

NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA.(3) Benjamin Prebyl (Registration No. 60,256).(2) David R. Sample.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tomita et al. and Joulin et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed the weaknesses of the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA/
Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1731